arXiv:1304.5957v2 [gr-qc] 3 Jun 2013

Further matters in space-time geometry: $f\left(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ gravity

Zahra Haghani[1],* Tiberiu Harko[2],† Francisco S. N. Lobo[3],‡ Hamid Reza Sepangi[1],§ and Shahab Shahidi[1]¶
[1]*Department of Physics, Shahid Beheshti University, G. C., Evin,Tehran 19839, Iran*
[2]*Department of Mathematics, University College London,*
Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom and
[3]*Centro de Astronomia e Astrofísica da Universidade de Lisboa,*
Campo Grande, Edificio C8 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

(Dated: June 4, 2013)

We consider a gravitational theory in which matter is non-minimally coupled to geometry, with the effective Lagrangian of the gravitational field being given by an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar, the trace of the matter energy-momentum tensor, and the contraction of the Ricci tensor with the matter energy-momentum tensor. The field equations of the theory are obtained in the metric formalism, and the equation of motion of a massive test particle is derived. In this type of theory the matter energy-momentum tensor is generally not conserved, and this non-conservation determines the appearance of an extra-force acting on the particles in motion in the gravitational field. It is interesting to note that in the present gravitational theory, the extra-force explicitly depends on the Ricci tensor, which entails a relevant deviation from the geodesic motion, especially for strong gravitational fields, thus rendering the possibility of a spacetime curvature enhancement by the $R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ coupling. The Newtonian limit of the theory is also considered, and an explicit expression for the extra-acceleration which depends on the matter density is obtained in the small velocity limit for dust particles. We also analyze in detail the so-called Dolgov-Kawasaki instability, and obtain the stability conditions of the theory with respect to local perturbations. A particular class of gravitational field equations can be obtained by imposing the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor. We derive the corresponding field equations for the conservative case by using a Lagrange multiplier method, from a gravitational action that explicitly contains an independent parameter multiplying the divergence of the energy-momentum tensor. The cosmological implications of the theory are investigated in detail for both the conservative and non-conservative cases, and several classes of exact analytical and approximate solutions are obtained.

PACS numbers: 04.30.-w,04.50.Kd,04.70.Bw

I. INTRODUCTION

The recently released Planck satellite data of the 2.7 degree Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) full sky survey [1, 2] have generally confirmed the standard ΛCDM (ΛCold Dark Matter) cosmological paradigm. A major goal of the Planck experiment was to test the ΛCDM model to high precision, and identify possible areas of tension. In fact, an interesting result from the fits of the basic ΛCDM model to the Planck power spectra is the lower than expected value of the Hubble constant H_0, $H_0 = 67.3 \pm 1.2$ km/s/ Mpc. The Hubble constant can be tightly constrained by CMB data alone in the ΛCDM model [2]. The Planck data has also further constrained the parameters of dark energy, a possible cause of the late-time cosmic acceleration. Indeed, a central problem in present day physics is to elucidate the nature of dark energy, thought to be driving the accelerated expansion of the Universe. Perhaps the most straightforward explanation for dark energy is the presence of a cosmological constant. An alternative is dynamical dark energy [3, 4], usually assumed to be a very light scalar field, having a canonical kinetic energy term, and being minimally coupled to gravity. The cosmological constant Λ has an equation of state $w = p/\rho = -1$, where p and ρ are the effective thermodynamic pressure and energy density associated with Λ, while scalar field theories usually have time varying equations of state with $w \geq -1$ [2].

The CMB alone does not strongly constrain the dark energy equation of state parameter w, due to the two-dimensional geometric degeneracy present in dark energy models. However, this degeneracy can be broken by combining the CMB data with lower redshift distance measurements [2]. By combining the Planck data with the measurements of H_0 in [5], the authors provide an equation of state parameter of dark energy given by $w = -1.24^{+0.18}_{-0.19}$, which is off by more than the 2σ compared to $w = -1$ [2]. The Planck data combined with the Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO) data give $w = -1.13^{+0.24}_{-0.25}$ [2]. Therefore, presently there is no convincing observational evidence that could clearly establish the nature of dark energy. Moreover, the accelerated expansion of the Universe (see [5] and references therein), the virial mass discrepancy at the galactic cluster level and the galaxy rotation curves [6] as well as other cosmological observations suggest that the standard general relativistic gravitational field equations, based on

---

[*] Electronic address: z˙haghani@sbu.ac.ir
[†] Electronic address: t.harko@ucl.ac.uk
[‡] Electronic address: flobo@cii.fc.ul.pt
[§] Electronic address: hr-sepangi@sbu.ac.ir
[¶] Electronic address: s˙shahidi@sbu.ac.ir

the Einstein-Hilbert action $S=\int\left(R/2+L_m\right)\sqrt{-g}d^4x$, where R is the scalar curvature, and L_m is the matter Lagrangian density, cannot describe the Universe at large scales, beside passing the Solar System tests. From a cosmological viewpoint, this amounts to introducing, by hand, the dark matter and dark energy components in the theory, in addition to ordinary matter and energy.

Another possibility is to modify the basic structure of the Einstein-Hilbert action in the hope that such a modification could naturally explain dark matter and dark energy, without resorting to some exotic forms of matter. Initially, the interest in the extension of the Einstein-Hilbert action was focused on the modification of the geometric part of the action. One of the interesting research avenues is the introduction of higher order terms to the gravitational field action through the substitution of the Ricci scalar with a generic function $f(R)$. The so-called $f(R)$ gravitational theories were first introduced in [7], and later used to find a non-singular isotropic de Sitter type cosmological solution [8]. For detailed reviews of $f(R)$ theories see, for instance, [9]. The most serious difficulty of $f(R)$ theories is that in general, these theories seem incapable of passing the standard Solar System tests [10]. However, there exists some theories that can accommodate this problem [11]. On the other hand, using phase space analysis of the specific model involved, it has been shown that $f(R)$ theories, in general, can explain the evolution of the Universe, from some matter dominated epoch to the present, late-time self accelerating era [12]. One can also generalize $f(R)$ type gravity theories by including the function $f(R)$ in the bulk action of the brane-world theories [13]. For this type of generalized brane world theories it has been shown in [14] that they can describe a Universe which starts from a matter-dominated era and ends in an accelerated expanding phase. The classical tests of this theory were also considered in [15].

A new class of modified theories of gravity was proposed recently, consisting of the superposition of the metric Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian with a $f(\mathcal{R})$ term constructed à la Palatini [16]. The dynamically equivalent scalar-tensor representation of the theory was also formulated, and it was shown that even if the scalar field is very light, the theory passes the Solar System observational constraints. Therefore the theory predicts the existence of a long-range scalar field, modifying the cosmological [17, 18] and galactic dynamics [19]. An explicit model that passes the local tests and leads to cosmic acceleration was also obtained. The cosmological applications of a hybrid metric-Palatini gravitational theory was also explored, and cosmological solutions coming from the scalar-tensor representation were presented in [18]. Several classes of dynamical cosmological solutions, depending on the functional form of the effective scalar field potential, describing both accelerating and decelerating Universes were explicitly found. Furthermore, the cosmological perturbation equations were derived and applied to uncover the nature of the propagating scalar degree of freedom and the signatures these models predict in the large-scale structure. Applications to wormhole physics were also explored [20].

Another interesting fact of $f(R)$ gravitational theories is that they are equivalent to Brans-Dicke theories with a specific ω parameter [9]. This suggests that the theory describes the non-minimal coupling between matter and geometry in the Einstein frame. It also provides a motivation to consider non-minimal coupling between matter and geometry in a more general manner at the action level. In the Einstein-Hilbert action, which has a simple additive structure in terms of R and L_m, geometry and matter appear at two very different conceptual levels, without any interaction between them. However, the idea that the gravitational action may not be additive in matter and geometry cannot be rejected *a priori*. One of the first efforts in this direction was made in [21] where, based on very general physical arguments, a framework was suggested in which terms with non-minimal coupling between matter and geometry should be expected in the action. As a consequence, a general action for the gravitational field would require a general coupling between the Ricci scalar and the matter Lagrangian.

In this context, a maximal extension of the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian was introduced in [22], where the Lagrangian of the gravitational field was considered to be a general function of R and L_m and therefore this theory came to be known as the $f\left(R,L_m\right)$ gravity theory. In theories with non-minimal geometry-matter coupling there exist an extra force, which arises from the interaction between matter and geometry, as initially suggested in [23] and [24], respectively. This extra force affects the motion of a test point particle, causing it to undergo a non-geodesic motion [25]. On the other hand it has been suggested that the extra force could be ignored if one uses a matter Lagrangian of the form $L_m=p$ instead of $L_m=-\rho$ [26]. However, in [27] it was shown that when the particle number is conserved, the Lagrangian of a barotropic perfect fluid is $\mathcal{L}_m=-\rho[c^2+\int P(\rho)/\rho^2 d\rho]$, where ρ is the *rest mass* density and $P(\rho)$ is the pressure. In turn, the $f\left(R,L_m\right)$ theory was generalized recently by considering a gravitational theory with an action given by an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar, the matter Lagrangian density, a scalar field and a kinetic term constructed from the gradients of the scalar field, respectively [28].

Another difficulty of the non-minimal theories is that, in general, the equivalence principle is violated. In fact, it has been shown that the observational data of the Abell Cluster A586 exhibits evidence of the interaction between dark matter and dark energy, and that this interaction implies a violation of the Equivalence Principle [29]. The mass profile in this particular cluster is approximately spherical, and it is a relaxed cluster, since it has not undergone any important merging process in the last few Gyrs. For the Abell Cluster A586 the kinetic energy ρ_K and the gravitational potential energy ρ_W can be computed. Then the generalized virial theorem $2\rho_K + \rho_W = \xi\rho_w$, where ξ is a coupling constant, allows to estimate the magnitude of the dark energy-dark matter interaction, as well as the degree of violation of the equivalence principle that should be detectable in large scale cluster surveys [29].

In the $f(R, L_m)$ type theories [22–29] it is assumed that all the properties of the matter are encoded in the matter Lagrangian L_m. An alternative view would be to consider theories in which matter, described by some of its thermodynamic parameters, different from the Lagrangian, couple directly to geometry. In the standard ΛCDM model the cosmological constant is spatially uniform and time independent, as required by the principle of general covariance. Physically, it can be interpreted as a relativistic ideal fluid obeying the equation of state $p + \rho = 0$. Moreover, this cosmological fluid obeys an equation of continuity that does not depend on the matter energy density. Such a form of dark energy is said to be non-interacting [30]. An interaction between ordinary matter and dark energy can be introduced in the form of a time-dependent cosmological constant. However, to preserve the general covariance of the field equations, a variable cosmological constant must depend only on relativistic invariants. The assumption $\Lambda = \Lambda(R)$ leads to the $f(R)$ class of modified gravity theories. Another choice, in which the cosmological constant is a function of the trace of the energy-momentum tensor T, was proposed in [30]. One advantage of this choice, as compared to $f(R)$-type gravity theories, is that we do not need to transform conformally from one metric to another, and, since we use one and the same metric tensor, the problem about which metric is physical does not appear.

Following the initial work done in [30], the general non-minimal coupling between matter and geometry was considered in the framework of a Lagrangian of the form $f(R, T)$, consisting of an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar and the trace of the energy-momentum tensor [31]. The gravitational field equations in the metric formalism, as well as the equations of motion for test particles, which follow from the covariant divergence of the stress-energy tensor, were obtained. The equations of motion of test particles were also obtained from a variational principle. The motion of massive test particles is non-geodesic and takes place in the presence of an extra force orthogonal to the four-velocity.

The astrophysical and cosmological implications of the $f(R, T)$ gravity theory have been extensively investigated recently [32]. A reconstruction of the cosmological models in $f(R, T)$ gravity was performed in [33]. The dust fluid reproduces the ΛCDM cosmology, the phantom-non-phantom era, and the phantom cosmology. The numerical simulation for the Hubble parameter shows good agreement with the BAO observational data for low redshifts $z < 2$. The study of the evolution of scalar cosmological perturbations was performed [34], by assuming a specific model that guarantee the standard continuity equation. The complete set of differential equations for the matter density perturbations was obtained and it was shown that for general $f(R, T)$ Lagrangians the quasi-static approximation leads to very different results as compared to the ones derived in the frame of the ΛCDM model. For sub-Hubble modes, the density contrast obeys a second order differential equation, with explicit wave-number dependence, and subsequent strong divergences on the cosmological evolution of the perturbations. A comparison of these results with the usual quasi-static approximation in general relativity shows that the density contrast quantities evolve very differently. There is also a difference in the linear regime between these theories. The results obtained in [34] for $f(R, T)$ gravity are in contradiction with the usually assumed behavior of the density contrast, and imposes strong limitations on the viability of the $f(R, T) = f_1(R) + f_2(T)$ type models. The growth of the scalar perturbations in the sub-Hubble limit, for this model, is scale-dependent. However, one should emphasize that the observational data provided by the Planck satellite [35] show clear evidence of the scale dependence of the CMB power spectrum. On the other hand it seems that Lagrangians of the form $f(R, T)$ cannot lead in general to the standard energy-momentum conservation equations [31, 34].

However, the $f(R, L_m)$ or $f(R, T)$ type theories are not the most general Lagrangians describing the non-minimal coupling between matter and geometry. For example, one may generalize the above modified theories of gravity by introducing a term $R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ in the Lagrangian. Indeed, examples of such couplings can be found in the Einstein-Born-Infeld theories [36] when one expands the square root in the Lagrangian. An interesting difference in $f(R, T)$ gravity and in an inclusion of the $R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ term, is that in considering a traceless energy-momentum tensor, i.e., $T = 0$, the field equations of $f(R, T)$ gravity reduces to those of $f(R)$ gravity theories. However, considering the presence of the $R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ coupling term still entails a non-minimal coupling to the electromagnetic field.

It is the purpose of this work to consider an extension of the $f(R, T)$ gravity theory by also taking into account a possible coupling between the energy-momentum tensor of ordinary matter, $T_{\mu\nu}$, and the Ricci curvature tensor $R_{\mu\nu}$. Therefore we propose to describe the gravitational field by means of a Lagrangian of the form $f(R, T, R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu})$ (a similar approach is carried out in [37], but in a different setting), where f is an arbitrary function in the arguments R, T, and $R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$, respectively. We obtain the gravitational field equations for this theory, and formulate them as an effective Einstein field equation. The equation of motion of massive test particles is also obtained from the field equations. In this type of theories the energy-momentum tensor is generally non-conserved. In order to study the Newtonian limit of the theory we derive the equation of motion from a variational principle. An important requirement for any generalized gravity theory, besides passing the Solar System tests, is its stability. Thus, we analyze in detail the so-called Dolgov-Kawasaki instability, obtaining the stability conditions for the theory. An interesting question is the possibility of the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor in such theories. We impose the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor by employing a Lagrange multiplier method. The gravitational equations with energy-momentum conservation are derived from an action with the Lagrange multiplier, multiplying the energy-momentum tensor, included. The cosmological implications of the theory are investigated for both the conservative and non-conservative cases, and several classes of analytical and numerical solutions are obtained.

The present paper is organized as follows. The gravitational field equations of $f\left(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ gravity theory are derived in Section II, and the equations of motion of massive test particles are obtained in Section III. The Newtonian limit of the theory is studied in Section IV, where in particular, we obtain the generalized Poisson equation. In Section V, the Dolgov-Kawasaki instability in the $f\left(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ gravity theory is further investigated. In Section VI, the field equations with a conserved energy-momentum tensor are obtained via the Lagrange multiplier method. In Section VII the cosmological implications of the theory are investigated. We discuss and conclude our results in Section VIII. We work in a system of units with $c=1$.

II. THE FIELD EQUATIONS OF THE $f\left(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ GRAVITY THEORY

We consider that the non-minimal coupling between matter and geometry can be described by the following action, containing, in addition to the Ricci scalar R and the trace of the energy-momentum tensor T, an explicit first order coupling between the matter energy-momentum $T_{\mu\nu}$ and the Ricci tensor, respectively,

$$S=\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}f\left(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)+\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}L_m, \tag{1}$$

where L_m is the Lagrangian density of the matter sector, and the matter energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ is defined as

$$T_{\mu\nu}=-\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta\left(\sqrt{-g}L_m\right)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}=g_{\mu\nu}L_m-2\frac{\partial L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}. \tag{2}$$

In the second equality we have assumed that the Lagrangian is a function of the metric and not its derivatives. The only requirement imposed on the function $f\left(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ is that it is an arbitrary analytical function in all arguments.

The variation of the action with respect to the metric can be written as

$$\delta S=\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Big[f_R\delta R+f_T\delta T+f_{RT}\delta(R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}) -\frac{1}{2}fg_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}+\frac{16\pi G}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}L_m)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}\delta g^{\mu\nu}\Big], \tag{3}$$

where we have defined f_R, f_T and f_{RT} as the derivatives of f with respect to R, T and $R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$, respectively.

The variation of the Ricci scalar is provided by

$$\delta R=R_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}+g^{\mu\nu}(\nabla_\lambda\delta\Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}-\nabla_\nu\delta\Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\lambda}), \tag{4}$$

and variation of the Christoffel symbol is given by

$$\delta\Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}=\frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\alpha}(\nabla_\mu\delta g_{\nu\alpha}+\nabla_\nu\delta g_{\alpha\mu}-\nabla_\alpha\delta g_{\mu\nu}). \tag{5}$$

Hence, variation of the Ricci scalar can be written as

$$\delta R=R_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}+g_{\mu\nu}\Box\delta g^{\mu\nu}-\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\delta g^{\mu\nu}. \tag{6}$$

In addition, variation of the trace of the energy-momentum tensor yields the following

$$\frac{\delta T}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}=\frac{\delta T_{\alpha\beta}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}g^{\alpha\beta}+T_{\mu\nu}. \tag{7}$$

Using Eq. (2), for variation of the energy-momentum tensor we find

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta T_{\alpha\beta}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}&=\frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}L_m+g_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}-2\frac{\partial^2L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}\\&=\frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}L_m+\frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}g_{\mu\nu}L_m-\frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}T_{\mu\nu}-2\frac{\partial^2L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}.\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In order to obtain the variation of $R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$, we note that variation of the Ricci tensor is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta R_{\mu\nu}&=\nabla_\lambda\delta\Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}-\nabla_\nu\delta\Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\lambda}\\&=\nabla^\alpha\nabla_{(\mu}\delta g_{\nu)\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}\Box\delta g_{\mu\nu}+g_{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\delta g^{\alpha\beta}.\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu})\;&=\;T^{\alpha\beta}\nabla^\lambda\nabla_{(\alpha}\delta g_{\beta)\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}T^{\alpha\beta}\Box\delta g_{\alpha\beta}\\&\quad+T^{\alpha\beta}g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\delta g^{\mu\nu}+R_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\alpha T^{\alpha\beta}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}\delta g^{\mu\nu}.\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Combining all these results, the gravitational field equations corresponding to action Eq. (1) takes the following form

$$(f_R - f_{RT}L_m)G_{\mu\nu} + \left[\Box f_R + \frac{1}{2}Rf_R - \frac{1}{2}f + f_T L_m + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\left(f_{RT}T^{\alpha\beta}\right)\right] g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu f_R + \frac{1}{2}\Box\left(f_{RT}T_{\mu\nu}\right)$$
$$+ 2f_{RT}R_{\alpha(\mu}T_{\nu)}^{\alpha} - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_{(\mu}\left[T^{\alpha}_{\nu)}f_{RT}\right] - \left(f_T + \frac{1}{2}f_{RT}R + 8\pi G\right)T_{\mu\nu} - 2\left(f_T g^{\alpha\beta} + f_{RT}R^{\alpha\beta}\right)\frac{\partial^2 L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}} = 0. \quad (11)$$

The trace of the gravitational field equation, Eq. (11), is obtained as

$$3\Box f_R + \frac{1}{2}\Box\left(f_{RT}T\right) + \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\left(f_{RT}T^{\alpha\beta}\right) + Rf_R - Tf_T$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}RTf_{RT} + 2R_{\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta}f_{RT} + Rf_{RT}L_m + 4f_T L_m - 2f$$
$$-8\pi GT - 2g^{\mu\nu}\left(g^{\alpha\beta}f_T + R^{\alpha\beta}f_{RT}\right)\frac{\partial^2 L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}} = 0. (12)$$

The second derivative of the matter Lagrangian with respect to the metric is non-zero if the matter Lagrangian is the second or of higher order in the metric. Thus, for a perfect fluid with $L_m = -\rho$, or a scalar field with $L_m = -\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi/2$, this term can be dropped. However, for instance, considering the Maxwell field, we have $L_m = -F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}/4$, and this term results in

$$\frac{\partial^2 L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}} = -\frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\alpha}F_{\nu\beta}, \quad (13)$$

thus giving a non-zero contribution to the field equations. In the framework of $f\left(R, L_m\right)$ theories it has been shown in [26] that for a matter source in the form of a perfect fluid, for a non-minimally coupled Ricci scalar and matter Lagrangian in the form $L_m = p$, the extra force vanishes in the case of dust. However, in the present case, we will see that even with this choice, the extra force does not vanish in general.

In analogy with the standard Einstein field equation one can write the gravitational field equation (11) as

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_{eff}T_{\mu\nu} - \Lambda_{eff}g_{\mu\nu} + T^{eff}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (14)$$

where we have defined the effective gravitational coupling G_{eff}, the effective cosmological constant Λ_{eff}, and an effective energy-momentum tensor $T^{eff}_{\mu\nu}$ as

$$G_{eff} = \frac{G + \frac{1}{8\pi}\left(f_T + \frac{1}{2}f_{RT}R - \frac{1}{2}\Box f_{RT}\right)}{f_R - f_{RT}L_m}, \quad (15)$$

$$\Lambda_{eff} = \frac{2\Box f_R + Rf_R - f + 2f_T L_m + \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta(f_{RT}T^{\alpha\beta})}{2(f_R - f_{RT}L_m)}, \quad (16)$$

and

$$T^{eff}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{f_R - f_{RT}L_m}\left\{\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu f_R - \nabla_\alpha f_{RT}\nabla^\alpha T_{\mu\nu}\right.$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}f_{RT}\Box T_{\mu\nu} - 2f_{RT}R_{\alpha(\mu}T_{\nu)}^{\alpha} + \nabla_\alpha\nabla_{(\mu}\left[T^{\alpha}_{\nu)}f_{RT}\right]$$
$$\left.+ 2\left(f_T g^{\alpha\beta} + f_{RT}R^{\alpha\beta}\right)\frac{\partial^2 L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}\right\}, \quad (17)$$

respectively. In general G_{eff} and Λ_{eff} are not constants, and they depend on the specific model considered.

It is worth mentioning the main differences between the present theory to that presented in [31]. In particular, when assuming a traceless energy-momentum tensor, $T = 0$. For instance when the electromagnetic field is involved, the gravitational field equations for the $f(R, T)$ theory reduce to that of the field equations for $f(R)$ gravity and all non-minimal couplings of gravity to the matter field vanish. In contrast, the theory outlined in this work still has a non-minimal coupling to the electromagnetic field via the $R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ coupling term in the action, which is non-zero in general. It is interesting to write down the field equations for this specific form, which are given by

$$\left(f_R + \frac{1}{4}f_{RT}F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}\right)G_{\mu\nu} + \left[\Box f_R + \frac{1}{2}Rf_R\right.$$
$$\left.-\frac{1}{2}f + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\left(f_{RT}T^{\alpha\beta}\right)\right]g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu f_R$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\Box\left(f_{RT}T_{\mu\nu}\right) + 2f_{RT}R_{\alpha(\mu}T_{\nu)}^{\alpha} - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_{(\mu}\left[T^{\alpha}_{\nu)}f_{RT}\right]$$
$$-\left(\frac{1}{2}f_{RT}R + 8\pi G\right)T_{\mu\nu} + f_{RT}R^{\alpha\beta}F_{\mu\alpha}F_{\nu\beta} = 0. \quad (18)$$

III. EQUATION OF MOTION OF THE MASSIVE TEST PARTICLES IN THE $f\left(R, T, R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ GRAVITY THEORY

The covariant divergence of the energy-momentum tensor can be obtained by taking the divergence of the gravitational field equation, Eq. (11), which takes the following form

$$\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{(1+Rf_{TR}+2f_T)}\Bigg\{\nabla_\mu\left(f_{RT}R^{\sigma\mu}T_{\sigma\nu}\right) + \nabla_\nu\left(L_m f_T\right) - \frac{1}{2}\Bigg(f_{RT}R_{\rho\sigma} + f_T g_{\rho\sigma}\Bigg)\nabla_\nu T^{\rho\sigma} - G_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\mu\left(f_{RT}L_m\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left[\nabla^\mu\left(Rf_{RT}\right) + 2\nabla^\mu f_T\right]T_{\mu\nu}\Bigg\}, \tag{19}$$

where we have assumed that $\partial^2 L_m/\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta} = 0$, and we have used the mathematical identities

$$\nabla^\mu\left(f_R R_{\mu\nu} + \Box f_R g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}f g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu f_R\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\left[f_T\nabla_\nu T + f_{RT}\nabla_\nu\left(R_{\rho\sigma}T^{\rho\sigma}\right)\right], \tag{20}$$

$$2T_{\mu\tau;\delta[;\rho;\sigma]} = T_{\mu\tau;\alpha}R^{\alpha}{}_{\delta\rho\sigma} + T_{\alpha\tau;\delta}R^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\rho\sigma} + T_{\mu\alpha;\delta}R^{\alpha}{}_{\tau\rho\sigma}, \tag{21}$$

and

$$\left[\Box,\nabla_\nu\right]T = R_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\mu T, \tag{22}$$

respectively.

In order to find the equation of motion for a massive test particle we start with the energy-momentum tensor of the perfect fluid, given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = pg_{\mu\nu} + (\rho+p)u_\mu u_\nu, \tag{23}$$

where u^μ is the four-velocity of the particle. Taking the divergence of the Eq. (23), and by introducing the projection operator $h_{\mu\nu}$, defined as

$$h_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu, \tag{24}$$

we obtain

$$\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = h^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu p + u^\nu u_\mu\nabla^\mu\rho + (\rho+p)\big(u^\nu\nabla_\mu u^\mu + u^\mu\nabla_\mu u^\nu\big). \tag{25}$$

Multiplying the above equation with h^λ_ν one finds

$$h^\lambda_\nu\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = (\rho+p)u^\mu\nabla_\mu u^\lambda + h^{\nu\lambda}\nabla_\nu p, \tag{26}$$

where we have used the identity $u_\mu\nabla_\nu u^\mu = 0$. The equation of motion for a massive test particle with the matter Lagrangian $L_m = p$, then takes the form

$$\frac{d^2x^\lambda}{ds^2} + \Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu = f^\lambda, \tag{27}$$

where we have used the definition of the covariant derivative to obtain the LHS of the above equation. The extra force acting on the test particles is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f^\lambda &= \frac{1}{\rho+p}\Bigg[\left(f_T + Rf_{RT}\right)\nabla_\nu\rho - \left(1+3f_T\right)\nabla_\nu p \\ &- (\rho+p)f_{RT}R^{\sigma\rho}\left(\nabla_\nu h_{\sigma\rho} - 2\nabla_\rho h_{\sigma\nu}\right) \\ &- f_{RT}R_{\sigma\rho}h^{\sigma\rho}\nabla_\nu\left(\rho+p\right)\Bigg]\frac{h^{\lambda\nu}}{1+2f_T+Rf_{RT}}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Contrary to the nonminimal coupling presented in [23], and as can be seen from the above equations, the extra force does not vanish even with the Lagrangian $L_m = p$.

The extra-force is perpendicular to the four-velocity, satisfying the relation $f^\lambda u_\lambda = 0$. In the absence of any coupling between matter and geometry, with $f_T = f_{RT} = 0$, the extra-force takes the usual form of the standard general relativistic fluid motion, i.e., $f^\lambda = -h^{\lambda\nu}\nabla_\nu p/\left(\rho+p\right)$. In the case of $f\left(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ gravity theories, there is an explicit dependence of the extra-force on the Ricci tensor $R_{\sigma\rho}$, which makes the deviation from the geodesic motion more important for regions with strong gravitational fields.

IV. THE NEWTONIAN LIMIT OF $f\left(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ GRAVITY

Lets us now consider the Newtonian limit of the theory. Using the weak field and slow motion approximation, we derive the equation of motion of massive test particles in a weak gravitational field as well as the generalized Poisson equation satisfied by the Newtonian potential ϕ.

A. The equation of motion of massive test particles

In order to obtain the Newtonian limit, we show first that the equation of motion, Eq. (27), can be derived from a variational principle [24, 31]. To this end, we assume that one can represent the extra force formally as

$$f^\lambda = (g^{\nu\lambda} + u^\nu u^\lambda)\nabla_\nu\ln\sqrt{Q}, \tag{29}$$

where Q is a function to be determined from the variational principle. With this assumption, one can prove that the equation of motion Eq. (27) can be obtained by varying the action [22]

$$S_p = \int L_p\,ds = \int\sqrt{Q}\sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu}\,ds, \tag{30}$$

where S_p and L_p are the action and Lagrangian density of the test particle respectively, provided that Q is not an explicit function of u^μ. When $\sqrt{Q}\to 1$, we obtain the variational principle for the standard general relativistic motion for a massive test particle.

In order to obtain the function Q for Eq. (27) in the Newtonian limit, we assume that the density of the physical system is small and therefore the pressure satisfies the condition $p \ll \rho$. Hence the energy-momentum tensor of the system can be taken as the energy-momentum tensor of pressureless dust. Moreover, by considering the limiting case of small velocities, we can take the four-velocity in the form $u^\mu = \delta^\mu_0/\sqrt{g_{00}}$ and drop the covariant derivatives of $h_{\mu\nu}$ in Eq. (28). Therefore Eq. (27) takes the form

$$f^\lambda = \frac{F}{\rho}h^{\lambda\nu}\nabla_\nu\rho, \tag{31}$$

where

$$F=\frac{f_T+f_{RT}(R-R_{\alpha\beta}h^{\alpha\beta})}{1+2f_T+Rf_{RT}}. \tag{32}$$

In the Newtonian limit, one can expand the quantity F as a function of the energy density as

$$F(\rho)=F(\rho_0)+\left.\frac{dF}{d\rho}\right|_{\rho_0}(\rho-\rho_0)\equiv F_0+F_1\left(\rho-\rho_0\right). \tag{33}$$

Substituting F to the equation (31) and comparing the result with equation (29) one can read off $\sqrt{Q}$ for small ρ as

$$\sqrt{Q}\approx 1+F_1\rho+\rho^{\alpha}, \tag{34}$$

where $\alpha=F_0-F_1\rho_0$. We have therefore obtained $\sqrt{Q}$ in the case of dust as an explicit function of the energy density ρ. We may now proceed to study the Newtonian limit of the theory by using the variational principle Eq. (30), and also Eq. (34). In the weak field limit the interval ds for dust moving in a gravitational field is

$$ds\approx\sqrt{1+2\phi-\vec{v}^2}\,dt\approx\left(1+\phi-\frac{\vec{v}^2}{2}\right)dt, \tag{35}$$

where ϕ is the Newtonian potential and $\vec{v}$ is the three-dimensional velocity of the fluid. The equation of motion of the fluid to first order approximation can be obtained from the variational principle

$$\delta\int\left[1+U(\rho)+\phi-\frac{\vec{v}^2}{2}\right]dt=0. \tag{36}$$

The total acceleration of the system, $\vec{a}$, is given as

$$\vec{a}=-\nabla\phi-\nabla U(\rho)=\vec{a}_N+\vec{a}_E, \tag{37}$$

where $\vec{a}_N=-\nabla\phi$ is the Newtonian acceleration, and the supplementary acceleration, induced by the geometry-matter coupling, is

$$\vec{a}_E(\rho)=-\nabla U(\rho)=\left[F_1+(F_0-F_1\rho_0)\rho^{\alpha-1}\right]\nabla\rho. \tag{38}$$

The acceleration given by Eq. (38) is due to the modification of the gravitational action. In our case, there is no hydrodynamical acceleration $\vec{a}_p$ term in the total acceleration, because of our assumption that the fluid is pressureless. However, such an acceleration does exist in the general case. We see from Eq. (38) that the extra acceleration $\vec{a}_E$ is essentially due to the non-minimal coupling between matter and geometry. The extra-acceleration is proportional to the gradient of the energy density of the fluid. Therefore, for a constant energy density source and a pressureless fluid, the extra acceleration vanishes.

B. The generalized Poisson equation

To obtain the Poisson equation we assume that the matter content of the self-gravitating system is represented by dust. Also, noting that in the Newtonian limit one has $R=-2R_{00}=-2\nabla^2\phi$, where ϕ is the Newtonian potential which appears in the (00) component of the metric $g_{00}=-(1+2\phi)$, one can compute the individual terms in the trace equation (12) as

$$R_{\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta}\sim\rho\nabla^2\phi, \tag{39}$$

$$\Box f_R\sim\nabla^2 f_R+\nabla f_R\cdot\nabla\phi, \tag{40}$$

and

$$\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta(f_{RT}T^{\alpha\beta})\sim\nabla(\rho f_{RT})\cdot\nabla\phi+\rho f_{RT}\nabla^2\phi, \tag{41}$$

respectively.

Substituting the above expressions into Eq. (12) and rearranging terms, we obtain the generalized Poisson equation as

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2\phi &= \frac{1}{2(f_R-2\rho f_{RT})}\Big[8\pi G\rho+3\nabla^2 f_R-3\rho f_T\\ &- 2f+\nabla(3f_R+\rho f_{RT})\cdot\nabla\phi\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

As can be seen, the generalized Poisson equation is modified by the addition of gradient of the ϕ field to the equation.

V. THE DOLGOV-KAWASAKI INSTABILITY IN $f\left(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ GRAVITY

Beside consistency with the Solar System tests, any gravitational theory should be stable against classical and quantum fluctuations. One of the important instabilities of modified theories of gravity is the Dolgov-Kawasaki instability [38, 39], which we shall discuss in the present Section.

Let us assume that, in order to be consistent with the Solar System tests, the Lagrangian can be written as

$$f(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu})=R+\epsilon\Phi\left(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right), \tag{43}$$

where ϵ is a small parameter. Following [38], we expand the space-time quantities around a constant curvature background with geometrical and physical parameters $\left(\eta_{\mu\nu},R_0,T^0_{\mu\nu},T_0,L_0\right)$, so that

$$R_{\mu\nu}=\frac{1}{4}R_0\eta_{\mu\nu}+R^1_{\mu\nu},\qquad R=R_0+R_1, \tag{44}$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}=T^0_{\mu\nu}+T^1_{\mu\nu},\qquad T=T_0+T_1, \tag{45}$$

$$L_m=L_0+L_1, \tag{46}$$

where we have locally expanded the metric tensor as

$$g_{\mu\nu}=\eta_{\mu\nu}+h_{\mu\nu}. \tag{47}$$

We note that in the above equations we have really two types of approximations, as mentioned in [39]. The first is an adiabatic expansion around a constant curvature space, which is justified on the time-scales much shorter than the Hubble time. The second approximation is a local expansion in the small regions of space-time, which are locally flat. These approximations have been used extensively in $f(R)$ gravity theories, [38, 39]. The function $f\left(R, T, R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ can be expanded as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(R,T, R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}) &= R_0 + R_1 + \epsilon\Bigg[\Phi(0) + \Phi_R(0)R_1 \\
&\quad + \Phi_T(0)T_1 + \Phi_{RT}(0)\left(\frac{1}{4}R_0T^1 + R^1_{\mu\nu}T_0^{\mu\nu}\right)\Bigg] \\
&= R_0 + \epsilon\Phi(0) + \big[1+\epsilon\Phi_R(0)\big]R_1 + H^{(1)}, \qquad (48)
\end{aligned}
$$

where (0) denotes the computation of the function at the background level, and for simplicity we have defined the first order quantity $H^{(1)}$ as

$$
H^{(1)} = \epsilon\left[\Phi_T(0)T_1 + \Phi_{RT}(0)\left(\frac{1}{4}R_0T^1 + R^1_{\mu\nu}T_0^{\mu\nu}\right)\right]. \qquad (49)
$$

We then obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_R &= 1 + \epsilon\Phi_R(0) + \epsilon\Phi_{R,R}(0)R_1 + H^{(1)}_R, &(50)\\
f_T &= \epsilon\Phi_T(0) + \epsilon\Phi_{T,R}(0)R_1 + H^{(1)}_T, &(51)\\
f_{RT} &= \epsilon\Phi_{RT}(0) + \epsilon\Phi_{RT,R}(0)R_1 + H^{(1)}_{RT}. &(52)
\end{aligned}
$$

The trace equation (12) can then be expanded to first order to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(3\epsilon\Phi_{R,R}(0) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon T_0\Phi_{RT,R}(0)\right)\Box R_1 + \epsilon T_0^{\alpha\beta}\Phi_{RT,R}(0)\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta R_1 + \Bigg[f_R(0) + \epsilon R_0\Phi_{R,R}(0) - \epsilon T_0\Phi_{T,R}(0) - \frac{1}{2}T_0f_{RT}(0) \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon R_0T_0\Phi_{RT,R}(0) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon R_0T_0\Phi_{RT,R}(0) + \epsilon R_0L_0\Phi_{RT,R}(0) + f_{RT}(0)L_0 + 4\epsilon L_0\Phi_{T,R}(0) - 2 - \epsilon\Phi_R(0)\Bigg]R_1 \\
&+3\Box H^{(1)}_R + \frac{1}{2}f_{RT}(0)\Box T_1 + \frac{1}{2}T_0\Box H^{(1)}_{RT} + f_{RT}(0)\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta T_1^{\alpha\beta} + T_0^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta H^{(1)}_{RT} + R_0H^{(1)}_R - T_1f_T(0) \\
&-T_0H^{(1)}_T + 2R^1_{\mu\nu}T_0^{\mu\nu}f_{RT}(0) + R_0f_{RT}(0)L_1 + R_0L_0H^{(1)}_{RT} + 4f_T(0)L_1 + 4L_0H^{(1)}_T \\
&-2H^{(1)} + 8\pi GT_1 - 2\eta^{\mu\nu}\eta^{\alpha\beta}\big[f_T(0) + \frac{1}{4}R_0f_{RT}(0)\big]\frac{\partial^2L_1}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}} = 0. \qquad (53)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the limit considered, one may write $\Box = -\partial_t^2 + \nabla^2$, thus obtaining

$$
T_0^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta R_1 = T_0^{00}\ddot{R}_1 + T_0^{ij}\partial_i\partial_jR_1. \qquad (54)
$$

One can then rewrite the above equation as

$$
\ddot{R}_1 + V^{ij}_{eff}\nabla_i\nabla_jR_1 + m^2_{eff}R_1 = H_{eff}, \qquad (55)
$$

where we have defined

$$
V^{ij}_{eff} = \frac{\big(3\epsilon\Phi_{R,R}(0) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon T_0\Phi_{RT,R}(0)\big)\delta^{ij} + \epsilon T_0^{ij}\Phi_{RT,R}(0)}{T_0^{00} - 3\epsilon\Phi_{R,R}(0) - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon T_0\Phi_{RT,R}(0)}, \qquad (56)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{eff} \;=\; &\big[3\epsilon\Phi_{R,R}(0) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon T_0\Phi_{RT,R}(0) - T_0^{00}\big]^{-1}\Bigg\{3\Box H^{(1)}_R + \frac{1}{2}f_{RT}(0)\Box T_1 + \frac{1}{2}T_0\Box H^{(1)}_{RT} + f_{RT}(0)\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta T_1^{\alpha\beta} \\
&+T_0^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta H^{(1)}_{RT} + R_0H^{(1)}_R - T_1f_T(0) - T_0H^{(1)}_T + 2R^1_{\mu\nu}T_0^{\mu\nu}f_{RT}(0) + R_0f_{RT}(0)L_1 + R_0L_0H^{(1)}_{RT} \\
&+4f_T(0)L_1 + 4L_0H^{(1)}_T - 2H^{(1)} + 8\pi GT_1 - 2\eta^{\mu\nu}\eta^{\alpha\beta}\left[f_T(0) + \frac{1}{4}R_0f_{RT}(0)\right]\frac{\partial^2L_1}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}\Bigg\}, \qquad (57)
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, and we have introduced the effective mass as

$$
\begin{aligned}
m^2_{eff} \;=\; &\left[\big(T_0^{00} - \frac{1}{2}T_0\big)f_{RT,R}(0) - 3f_{RR}(0)\right]^{-1}\Bigg[\epsilon R_0\Phi_{R,R}(0) - \epsilon T_0\Phi_{T,R}(0) - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon R_0T_0\Phi_{RT,R}(0) \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon T_0\Phi_{RT}(0) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon R_0T_0\Phi_{RT,R}(0) + \epsilon R_0L_0\Phi_{RT,R}(0) + \epsilon\Phi_{RT}(0)L_0 + 4\epsilon L_0\Phi_{T,R}(0) - 1 - \epsilon\Phi_R(0)\Bigg]. \qquad (58)
\end{aligned}
$$

The dominant term in the above expression is $1/\big[3f_{RR}(0) + (\frac{1}{2}T_0 - T_0^{00})f_{RT,R}(0)\big]$, and therefore the condition to avoid the Dolgov-Kawasaki instability is

$$3f_{RR}(0) - \left(\rho_0 - \frac{1}{2}T_0\right) f_{RT,R}(0) \geq 0, \tag{59}$$

where ρ_0 is the background energy density of the matter [38]. We note that due to the above expression, condition for the stability does not depend on the derivative of the function f with respect to T. So, the DK stability condition for the case of $f(R,T)$ gravity is the same as $f(R)$ gravity. However, the condition is modified in the case of $f(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu})$.

VI. $f\left(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ GRAVITY THEORIES WITH ENERGY-MOMENTUM CONSERVATION

The general non-minimal coupling between matter and geometry leads to the important consequence that the matter energy-momentum tensor is not conserved. In Section III we have shown that this property of the gravitational theory determines the appearance of the extra force. However, the energy non-conservation can be interpreted as a shortcoming of these types of theories. In the framework of the $f(R,T)$ theory, models with energy conservation have been investigated in [34]. By assuming a specific additive form for the function $f(R,T)$, $f(R,T) = f_1(R) + f_2(T)$, and by imposing the condition of the energy conservation, and under the assumption of a barotropic fluid, the function $f_2(T)$ can be uniquely determined as $f_2(T) \sim T^{1/2}$. In the following we investigate the energy conservation in $f\left(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ gravity.

In order to impose the matter energy-momentum tensor conservation, one can use the Lagrange multiplier method [40]. To do effect, let us consider the modified action

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Big[f\left(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right) + \lambda^\mu\nabla^\nu T_{\mu\nu}\Big] \\ &\quad + \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}L_m, \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

where we have introduced the vector Lagrange multiplier λ^μ. The variations of the first and the third terms are similar to those computed in Section II. The variation of the second term with respect to the metric is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta(\sqrt{-g}\lambda^\mu\nabla^\nu T_{\mu\nu}) \\ &= \sqrt{-g}\left[\lambda^\alpha(\nabla_\mu T_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^\beta T_{\alpha\beta}g_{\mu\nu})\delta g^{\mu\nu} + \lambda^\mu\nabla^\nu\delta T_{\mu\nu}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

where the variation of the energy-momentum tensor is given in Eq. (8). Combining the above results with the calculations of Section II, we obtain the field equations together with the energy-momentum conservation as

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(f_R - f_{RT}L_m\right)G_{\mu\nu} + \left[\Box f_R + \frac{1}{2}Rf_R - \frac{1}{2}f + f_TL_m + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\left(f_{RT}T^{\alpha\beta}\right)\right]g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu f_R + \frac{1}{2}\Box(f_{RT}T_{\mu\nu}) \\ &+2f_{RT}R_{\alpha(\mu}T_{\nu)}^{\ \ \alpha} - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_{(\mu}\left[T^\alpha_{\ \ \nu)}f_{RT}\right] - (f_T + \frac{1}{2}f_{RT}R + 8\pi G)T_{\mu\nu} - 2(f_Tg^{\alpha\beta} + f_{RT}R^{\alpha\beta})\frac{\partial^2L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}} \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^\alpha\nabla^\rho T_{\alpha\rho}g_{\mu\nu} + \lambda_\rho\nabla_{(\mu}T^\rho_{\nu)} - \nabla_{(\mu}\lambda_{\nu)}L_m - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_\alpha\lambda^\alpha\left(L_mg_{\mu\nu} - T_{\mu\nu}\right) + 2\nabla^{(\alpha}\lambda^{\beta)}\frac{\partial^2L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Now, variation with respect to the vector λ^μ results in

$$\nabla^\nu T_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{63}$$

which is the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor. Therefore Eqs. (62) and (63) provide the basic equations of the $f\left(R,T,R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ gravity theory with energy conservation. The gravitational field equations explicitly depend on the Lagrange multiplier λ^μ. The field equations in the case of the matter Lagrangian $L_m = -\rho$ or $L_m = p$ which leads to $\partial^2L_m/\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta} \equiv 0$ take a simpler form. For $L_m = -\rho$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(f_R + \rho f_{RT})G_{\mu\nu} + \left[\Box f_R + \frac{1}{2}Rf_R - \frac{1}{2}f - \rho f_T + \frac{1}{2}T^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta f_{RT}\right]g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu f_R + \frac{1}{2}\Box(f_{RT}T_{\mu\nu}) + 2f_{RT}R_{\alpha(\mu}T_{\nu)}^{\ \ \alpha} \\ &\qquad - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_{(\mu}\left[T^\alpha_{\ \ \nu)}f_{RT}\right] - (f_T + \frac{1}{2}f_{RT}R + 8\pi G)T_{\mu\nu} + \lambda_\rho\nabla_{(\mu}T^\rho_{\ \ \nu)} + \rho\nabla_{(\mu}\lambda_{\nu)} + \frac{1}{2}(\rho g_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu})\nabla_\alpha\lambda^\alpha = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor is taken into account.

In the case of the electromagnetic field, because the trace of the energy-momentum vanishes, we have $f_T = 0$, and using Eq. (13) we find the field equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(f_R + \frac{1}{4}F^2 f_{RT})G_{\mu\nu} + \left[\Box f_R + \frac{1}{2}R f_R - \frac{1}{2}f - \rho f_T + \frac{1}{2}T^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta f_{RT}\right]g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu f_R + \frac{1}{2}\Box(f_{RT}T_{\mu\nu}) + 2f_{RT}R_{\alpha(\mu}T_{\nu)}{}^{\alpha} \\
&\qquad - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_{(\mu}\left[T^{\alpha}_{\ \nu)}f_{RT}\right] - (\frac{1}{2}f_{RT}R + 8\pi G)T_{\mu\nu} + f_{RT}R^{\alpha\beta}F_{\mu\alpha}F_{\nu\beta} + \lambda_\rho\nabla_{(\mu}T^{\rho}_{\ \nu)} + \frac{1}{4}F^2\nabla_{(\mu}\lambda_{\nu)} \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{2}F^2_{\mu\nu}\nabla_\alpha\lambda^\alpha - \nabla^{(\alpha}\lambda^{\beta)}F_{\mu\alpha}F_{\nu\beta} = 0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{65}
$$

where we have defined $F^2 = F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}$ and $F^2_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\alpha}F_{\nu}{}^{\alpha}$ and used the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor.

VII. COSMOLOGICAL APPLICATIONS OF $f\left(R, T, R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ GRAVITY

Let us now consider some examples of cosmological solutions of the theory. In order to obtain explicit results and as a first step, one has to fix the functional form of the function $f\left(R, T, R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$. In the following we consider three specific choices for f, namely $f = R + \alpha R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$, $f = R + \alpha R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu} + \beta\sqrt{T}$ and $f = R + \alpha R R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$, where α, β = constant, respectively. We analyze the evolution and dynamics of the Universe for the above with and without energy conservation. In all cases we assume that the Universe is isotropic and homogeneous, with the matter content described by the energy density ρ, and thermodynamic pressure p with the matter Lagrangian as $L_m = -\rho$. The geometry of the space-time is described by the Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric, given by

$$
ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2), \tag{66}
$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor of the Universe. We define the Hubble parameter as $H = \dot{a}/a$, and we describe the accelerated expansion of the Universe through the values of the deceleration parameter q, defined as

$$
q = \frac{d}{dt}\frac{1}{H} - 1. \tag{67}
$$

If $q < 0$, the expansion of the Universe is accelerating, while positive values of q, $q \geq 0$, describe decelerating evolutions.

A. Specific case I: $f = R + \alpha R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$

Let us first consider the simplest case, in which the interaction between matter and geometry takes place only via the coupling between the energy-momentum and Ricci tensors. This simple case can also show the main differences of the present theory with the so-called $f(R, T)$ gravity theory [31]. The gravitational field equations for this form of f are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{\mu\nu} + \alpha\Bigg[&2R_{\sigma(\mu}T^{\sigma}_{\ \nu)} - \frac{1}{2}R_{\rho\sigma}T^{\rho\sigma}g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}RT_{\mu\nu} \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\left(2\nabla_\sigma\nabla_{(\nu}T^{\sigma}_{\ \mu)} - \Box T_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta T^{\alpha\beta}g_{\mu\nu}\right) \\
&- G_{\mu\nu}L_m - 2R^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial^2 L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}\Bigg] - 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu} = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{68}
$$

The effective gravitational coupling, the effective cosmological constant, and the effective energy-momentum tensor are given for this choice of f by

$$
G_{eff} = \frac{16\pi G + \alpha R}{16\pi(1 - \alpha L_m)}, \tag{69}
$$

$$
\Lambda_{eff} = \frac{\alpha}{2(1 - \alpha L_m)}(\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta - R_{\alpha\beta})T^{\alpha\beta}, \tag{70}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{eff}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\alpha}{2(1 - \alpha L_m)}\Big[&g_{\mu\beta}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\nu + g_{\beta\nu}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\mu \\
&- g_{\mu\alpha}g_{\nu\beta}\Box - 4R_{\alpha(\mu}g_{\nu)\beta}\Big]T^{\alpha\beta}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{71}
$$

For the case of the FLRW metric the independent cosmological field equations are

$$
3H^2 = \frac{\kappa}{1 - \alpha\rho}\rho + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha\rho}H\left(\dot{\rho} - \dot{p}\right), \tag{72}
$$

and

$$
2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = \frac{2\alpha}{1 + \alpha p}H\dot{\rho} - \frac{\kappa p}{1 + \alpha p} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha p}\left(\ddot{\rho} - \ddot{p}\right), \tag{73}
$$

respectively, where we have denoted $\kappa = 8\pi G$ for simplicity. When $\alpha = 0$ we recover the standard Friedmann equations. To remove the under determinacy of the field equations, we must impose an equation of state for the cosmological matter, $p = p(\rho)$. A standard form of the cosmological matter equation of state is $p = \omega\rho$, where ω = constant, and $0 \leq \omega \leq 1$.

1. *High cosmological density limit of the field equations*

We shall first consider the high energy density limit of the system of modified cosmological equations (72) and (73). Moreover, we assume that the constant α is small, so that $\alpha\rho \ll 1$, and $\alpha p \ll 1$, respectively. In the high-energy limit, $\rho = p$, and Eqs. (72) and (73) take the approximate form

$$\begin{aligned} 3H^2 &= \kappa\rho, \qquad (74)\\ 2\dot{H} + 3H^2 &= -\kappa\rho + 2\alpha H\dot{\rho}. \qquad (75)\end{aligned}$$

The time evolution of the Hubble parameter is described by the equation

$$\left(1 - \frac{6\alpha}{\kappa}\right) H^2 + 3H^2 = 0, \tag{76}$$

and hence for this model the evolution of the Hubble parameter is given by

$$H(t) = \frac{\sqrt{(C_1 + 3\kappa t)^{\,2} - 24\alpha\kappa} + C_1 + 3\kappa t}{12\alpha}, \tag{77}$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is an arbitrary integration constant. The scale factor of the Universe is given by

$$a(t) = C_2 \frac{\exp\left[\frac{(C_1+3\kappa t)\sqrt{(C_1+3\kappa t)^2 - 24\alpha\kappa} + 9\kappa t^2 + 6\kappa C_1 t}{72\alpha\kappa}\right]}{\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{(C_1 + 3\kappa t)^{\,2} - 24\alpha\kappa} + C_1 + 3\kappa t}}, \tag{78}$$

where $C_2 > 0$ is an arbitrary integration constant. In order to have a physical solution the integration constant C_1 must satisfy the constraint

$$C_1 \geq \sqrt{24\kappa\alpha}. \tag{79}$$

The values of the integration constant can be determined from the condition $H(0) = H_0$, and $a(0) = a_0$, where H_0 and a_0 are the initial values of the Hubble parameter and of the scale factor of the Universe, respectively. This condition immediately provides for C_1 the following value

$$C_1 = \frac{6\alpha H_0^2 + \kappa}{H_0}. \tag{80}$$

For the integration constant C_2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 \;=\; a_0 & \sqrt[3]{\sqrt{\frac{\left(\kappa - 6\alpha H_0^2\right)^2}{H_0^2}} + 6\alpha H_0 + \frac{\kappa}{H_0}} \times \\ & \times \exp\left[-\frac{\sqrt{\frac{\left(\kappa - 6\alpha H_0^2\right)^2}{H_0^2}}\left(6\alpha H_0^2 + \kappa\right)}{72\alpha H_0 \kappa}\right]. \qquad (81)\end{aligned}$$

In the small time limit, the scale factor can be represented by

$$a(t) \approx a_0 \left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{6H_0\alpha} t\right). \tag{82}$$

The deceleration parameter is obtained as

$$q(t) = -\frac{36\alpha H_0 \kappa}{\sqrt{\frac{\left(6\alpha H_0^2 + 3H_0\kappa t + \kappa\right)^2}{H_0^2} - 24\alpha\kappa}\left[6\alpha H_0^2 + H_0\sqrt{\frac{\left(6\alpha H_0^2 + 3H_0\kappa t + \kappa\right)^2}{H_0^2} - 24\alpha\kappa} + 3H_0\kappa t + \kappa\right]} - 1, \tag{83}$$

and it can be represented in a form of a power series as

$$q(t) \approx -1 - \frac{18\alpha H_0^2}{\kappa - 6\alpha H_0^2} + \frac{6H_0\kappa^2}{\left(\kappa - 6\alpha H_0^2\right)^3} t. \tag{84}$$

For small values of time, if $24\alpha H_0^2 \ll \kappa$, $q \approx -1$, and the Universe starts its expansion from a de Sitter like phase, entering, after a finite time interval, into a decelerating phase. On the other hand, if $\kappa > 6\alpha H_0^2$, $q < -1$, and the non-singular Universe experiences an initial super-accelerating phase.

2. *The case of dust matter*

Next we consider the case of low density cosmological matter, with $p = 0$. Moreover, we assume again that the condition $\alpha\rho \ll 1$ holds. Then the gravitational field equations, Eqs. (72) and (73), corresponding to a FLRW Universe, take the approximate form

$$3H^2 = \kappa\rho + \frac{3}{2}\alpha H\dot{\rho}, \tag{85}$$

$$2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = 2\alpha H\dot{\rho} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\ddot{\rho}. \tag{86}$$

First we consider the matter dominated phase of the model, in which the non-accelerating expansion of the

Universe can be described by a power law form of the scale factor, so that $a = t^m$, $m =$ constant, and $H = m/t$, respectively. The deceleration parameter is given by $q = 1/m - 1$ Therefore Eq. (85) gives for the time evolution of the density the equation

$$\frac{3\alpha m}{2t}\dot{\rho} + \kappa\rho - 3\frac{m^2}{t^2} = 0, \tag{87}$$

with the general solution given by

$$\rho(t) = \frac{e^{-\frac{\kappa t^2}{3\alpha}}\left[3\rho_0\alpha e^{\frac{\kappa t_0^2}{3\alpha}} + \mathrm{Ei}\left(\frac{t^2\kappa}{3\alpha}\right) - \mathrm{Ei}\left(\frac{t_0^2\kappa}{3\alpha}\right)\right]}{3\alpha}, \tag{88}$$

where $\mathrm{Ei}(z) = -\int_{-z}^{\infty} e^{-t}dt/t$ is the exponential integral function, and we have used the initial condition $\rho\left(t_0\right) = \rho_0$. By substituting the expressions of the density and of the Hubble parameter into Eq. (86), to first order, we obtain the following constraint on m,

$$\frac{9m^2 - 10m + 1}{3t^2} + O\left(t^2\right) \approx 0, \tag{89}$$

which is (approximately) satisfied if m is given by the algebraic equation $9m^2 - 10m + 1 = 0$, having the solutions $m_1 = 1$, and $m_2 = 1/9$, respectively. The deceleration parameters corresponding to these solutions are $q_1 = 0$, and $q_2 = 8$, respectively. Since a value of the deceleration parameter of the order of $q = 8$ seems to be ruled out by the observations, the physical solution has a scale factor $a = t$, and $q = 0$. The cosmological solutions with zero value of the deceleration parameter are called marginally accelerating, and they describe the pre-accelerating phase of the cosmic expansion.

Now we look for a de Sitter-type solution of the field equations for the pressureless matter, Eqs. (85) and (86), by taking $H = H_0 =$ constant. Then it follows that, in order to have an accelerated expansion, the matter density must satisfy the equation

$$\ddot{\rho} - H_0\dot{\rho} + \frac{2\kappa}{\alpha}\rho = 0, \tag{90}$$

with the general solution given by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(t) &= e^{\frac{1}{2}H_0(t-t_0)}\times\\ &\left\{\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}\left(2\rho_{01} - H_0\rho_0\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha H_0^2 - 8\kappa}}\sinh\left[\frac{\sqrt{\alpha H_0^2 - 8\kappa}}{2\sqrt{\alpha}}\left(t - t_0\right)\right]\right.\\ &\left.+\cosh\left[\frac{\sqrt{\alpha H_0^2 - 8\kappa}}{2\sqrt{\alpha}}\left(t - t_0\right)\right]\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

where we have used the initial conditions $\rho\left(t_0\right) = \rho_0$, and $\dot{\rho}\left(t_0\right) = \rho_{01}$, respectively. Therefore, in the presence of a non-trivial geometry-matter coupling, once the evolution of the matter density is given by Eq. (91), the time evolution of the Universe is of the de Sitter type.

B. Specific case II: $f = R + \beta\sqrt{|T|} + \alpha R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$

In this section, we generalize the previous action by adding a term $\beta\sqrt{T}$, $\beta =$ constant. Such a model, satisfying the energy conservation, was considered, in the framework of the $f(R,T)$ theory, in [34], where a toy model with action given by $f(R,T) = R + \beta T^{1/2}$ was investigated. The field equations of the $f\left(R, T, R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ gravity in this case are

$$\begin{aligned}G_{\mu\nu} + \alpha\Bigg[&2R_{\sigma(\mu}T^{\sigma}{}_{\nu)} - \frac{1}{2}R_{\rho\sigma}T^{\rho\sigma}g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}RT_{\mu\nu}\\ &- \frac{1}{2}\left(2\nabla_\sigma\nabla_{(\nu}T^{\sigma}{}_{\mu)} - \Box T_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta T^{\alpha\beta}g_{\mu\nu}\right)\\ &- G_{\mu\nu}L_m - 2R^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial^2 L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}\Bigg] - 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}\\ &+ \frac{\beta}{2\sqrt{T}}\left[(L_m - T)g_{\mu\nu} - T_{\mu\nu} - 2g^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial^2 L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}\right] = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

The cosmological equations of this model with the perfect fluid matter in the FRW space-time can be written as

$$3(1 - \alpha\rho)H^2 = \kappa\rho + \frac{3}{2}\alpha H\left(\dot{\rho} - \dot{p}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\beta\sqrt{|3p - \rho|}, \tag{93}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(1 + \alpha p)(2\dot{H} + 3H^2) = 2\alpha H\dot{\rho} - \kappa p\\ + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\left(\ddot{\rho} - \ddot{p}\right) - \frac{2\beta p}{\sqrt{|3p - \rho|}},\end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

respectively.

1. *High density regime*

In the high density cosmological regime the matter equation of state is given by the Zeldovich stiff causal equation of state, with $p = \rho$. Then the field equations take the form

$$3\left(1 - \alpha\rho\right)H^2 = \kappa\rho - \sqrt{2}\beta\sqrt{\rho}, \tag{95}$$

and

$$\left(1 + \alpha\rho\right)\left(2\dot{H} + 3H^2\right) = 2\alpha H\dot{\rho} - \kappa\rho - \sqrt{2}\beta\sqrt{\rho}, \tag{96}$$

respectively. For a small coupling α, and by assuming $\alpha\rho \ll 1$, the field equations reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}3H^2 &= \kappa\rho - \sqrt{2}\beta\sqrt{\rho}, &(97)\\ \dot{H} &= \alpha H\dot{\rho} - \kappa\rho, &(98)\end{aligned}$$

thus giving the evolution equation for the density as

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = \frac{4\kappa\rho^{3/2}(t)\sqrt{3\kappa\rho(t) - 3\sqrt{2}\beta\sqrt{\rho(t)}}}{\sqrt{2}\beta\left[1 - 4\alpha\rho(t)\right] + 2\kappa\sqrt{\rho(t)}\left[2\alpha\rho(t) - 1\right]}. \tag{99}$$

By neglecting the term $\alpha\rho$ compared to 1, and by series expanding the right hand side of Eq. (99), to first order we obtain

$$\dot{\rho} = -2\sqrt{3\kappa}\rho^{3/2}\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2}\kappa\sqrt{\rho}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\beta}{2\sqrt{2}\kappa\sqrt{\rho}}\right), \tag{100}$$

with the general solution given by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho &= \frac{\beta^2}{32\kappa^2}\Bigg\{3\tanh\Bigg[\frac{3}{8}\Bigg(\frac{8}{3}\tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{\beta\pm 4\kappa\sqrt{2\rho_0}}{3\beta}\right)\\ &+\frac{\sqrt{6}\beta t}{\sqrt{\kappa}}\Bigg)\Bigg]-1\Bigg\}^2,\end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

where we have used the initial condition $\rho(0)=\rho_0$. After substituting the density given by Eq. (101) into Eq. (97), and performing a series expansion with respect to the time, to first order we obtain for the Hubble parameter

$$\begin{aligned}H(t) &= \frac{\sqrt{\kappa\rho_0-\sqrt{2}\beta\sqrt{\rho_0}}}{\sqrt{3}}\\ &+\frac{\sqrt{2}\beta^3-6\sqrt{2}\beta\kappa^2\rho_0+8\kappa^3\rho_0^{3/2}}{8\kappa^{3/2}\sqrt{\sqrt{\rho_0}\left(\kappa\sqrt{\rho_0}-\sqrt{2}\beta\right)}}t.\end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

In order to obtain a physical solution the parameters of the model must satisfy the constraint $\kappa\sqrt{\rho_0} > \sqrt{2}\beta$. For the scale factor of the Universe we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}a(t) &= a_0\exp\Bigg\{\frac{\sqrt{\kappa\rho_0-\sqrt{2}\beta\sqrt{\rho_0}}}{\sqrt{3}}t\\ &+\frac{\sqrt{2}\beta^3-6\sqrt{2}\beta\kappa^2\rho_0+8\kappa^3\rho_0^{3/2}}{8\kappa^{3/2}\sqrt{\sqrt{\rho_0}\left(\kappa\sqrt{\rho_0}-\sqrt{2}\beta\right)}}\frac{t^2}{2}\Bigg\}.\end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

In the high density regime, and in the considered order of approximation, the expansion of the Universe is super-exponential, with the scale factor proportional to the exponential of t^2.

2. *The pressureless matter fluid case*

In the case of dust, having $p=0$, the gravitational field equations take the form

$$3\left(1-\alpha\rho\right)H^2 = \kappa\rho+\frac{3}{2}\alpha H\dot{\rho}-\frac{\beta}{2}\sqrt{\rho}, \tag{104}$$

$$2\dot{H}+3H^2 = 2\alpha H\dot{\rho}+\frac{1}{2}\alpha\ddot{\rho}, \tag{105}$$

respectively. We consider the late time expansionary phase of the Universe, by assuming a de Sitter type form for the scale factor, $a(t)=\exp\left(H_0 t\right)$, with $H_0=$ constant. Then Eq. (105) can be immediately integrated, to give

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(t) &= e^{-4H_0(t-t_0)}\left(\frac{3}{8\alpha}-\frac{\rho_{01}}{4H_0}\right)+\frac{3H_0}{2\alpha}\left(t-t_0\right)\\ &+\rho_0+\frac{\rho_{01}}{4H_0}-\frac{3}{8\alpha},\end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

where $\rho_0=\rho\left(t_0\right)$, and $\rho_{01}=\dot{\rho}\left(t_0\right)$. In the limit of large time the matter density is linearly increasing in time, and hence this model does not have a physical late time de Sitter phase. Other types of solutions, including the matter dominated phase, can be obtained through the detailed numerical study of the system of Eqs. (104) and (105), which will not be performed here.

C. Specific case III: $f=R\left(1+\alpha R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$

As a third example of a cosmological model we consider the case in which the function f is given by $f=R\left(1+\alpha R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$. The field equations in this case are given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big[1+\alpha(R_{\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta}-RL_m)\Big]G_{\mu\nu}+\alpha\Big[\Box(R_{\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta})\\ &+\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta(RT^{\alpha\beta})\Big]g_{\mu\nu}-\alpha\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu(R_{\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta})\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\alpha\Box(RT_{\mu\nu})+2\alpha RR_{\alpha(\mu}T^{\alpha}_{\ \nu)}-\alpha\nabla_\alpha\nabla_{(\mu}\left[RT^{\alpha}_{\ \nu)}\right]\\ &-\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha R^2+8\pi G\right)T_{\mu\nu}-2\alpha RR^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial^2 L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}=0.\end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

In the following, we investigate only the zero pressure matter filled Universe. The cosmological gravitational field equations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}&-3H^2+\kappa\rho+\alpha\Big(18H\ddot{H}\rho+18H\dot{H}\dot{\rho}\\ &+54H^2\dot{H}\rho-9\dot{H}^2\rho+27H^3\dot{\rho}+27H^4\rho\Big)=0,\end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&-2\dot{H}-3H^2+\alpha\Big(6\dddot{H}\rho+12\ddot{H}\dot{\rho}+36H\ddot{H}\rho\\ &+6\dot{H}\ddot{\rho}+54H\dot{H}\dot{\rho}+48H^2\dot{H}\rho+15\dot{H}^2\rho+9H^2\ddot{\rho}\\ &+30H^3\dot{\rho}-9H^4\rho\Big)=0,\end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

respectively. The terms proportional to α in the generalized Friedmann equations (108) and (109) play the role of an effective supplementary density and pressure, which may be responsible for the late time acceleration of the Universe. In the following, we look for a de Sitter type solution of Eqs. (108) and (109), assuming that

$H = H_0 =$ constant. Then the field equations take the form

$$-3H_0^2 + \kappa\rho + 27H_0^3\alpha\left(\dot{\rho} + H_0\rho\right) = 0, \tag{110}$$

$$-3H_0^2 + H_0^2\alpha\left(9\ddot{\rho} + 30H_0\dot{\rho} - 9H_0^2\rho\right) = 0, \tag{111}$$

respectively, leading to the following differential consistency condition for the matter density ρ,

$$9\alpha H_0^2\ddot{\rho} + 3\alpha H_0^3\dot{\rho} - \left(36\alpha H_0^4 + \kappa\right)\rho = 0. \tag{112}$$

The general solution of Eq. (112) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(t) \;=\; & e^{-\frac{1}{6}H_0(t-t_0)} \times \left\{\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}H_0\left(H_0\rho_0 + 6\rho_{01}\right)}{\sqrt{145\alpha H_0^4 + 4\kappa}} \times\right. \\ & \times \sinh\left[\frac{\sqrt{145\alpha H_0^4 + 4\kappa}}{6\sqrt{\alpha}H_0}\left(t - t_0\right)\right] \\ & \left. + \rho_0\cosh\left[\frac{\sqrt{145\alpha H_0^4 + 4\kappa}}{6\sqrt{\alpha}H_0}\left(t - t_0\right)\right]\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

where we have used the initial conditions $\rho\left(t_0\right) = \rho_0$ and $\dot{\rho}\left(t_0\right) = \rho_{01}$, respectively. In order that the ordinary matter density decays exponentially for $t \geq t_0$, all the exponential terms must be negative, which imposes on α the constraint $\alpha < -\kappa/36H_0^4$. The high energy density regime of this model, corresponding to $p = \rho$, has similar properties with the $p = 0$ case, that is, it admits a de Sitter phase, which can be obtained by analytical methods.

D. $f\left(R, T, R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ gravity cosmological models with conserved energy-momentum tensor

We now consider cosmological models with a conserved energy-momentum tensor. For this case the relevant field equations are obtained in Section VI by using the Lagrange multiplier method, and are given by Eqs. (62) and (63), respectively. For the isotropic and homogeneous FLRW Universe the energy conservation equation becomes

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0. \tag{114}$$

Assuming a barotropic equation of state for the matter of the form $p(t) = \omega\rho(t)$, $\omega =$ constant, and the ansatz $\lambda^{\mu} = \lambda(t)\delta_0^{\mu}$ for the Lagrange multiplier, the gravitational field equations with energy conservation are given by

$$3\left(f_R + \frac{\omega - 1}{2}\rho f_{RT}\right)\dot{H} + 3\left(f_R + \frac{3\omega^2 + 3\omega - 2}{2}\rho f_{RT}\right)H^2 + \left\{\left[(\omega + 1)\lambda - \frac{\omega - 1}{2}\dot{f}_{RT}\right]\rho - \dot{f}_R\right\}H + \rho\dot{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}f + 8\pi G\rho = 0, \tag{115}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(f_R + \frac{3\omega^2 - \omega - 6}{2}\rho f_{RT}\right)\dot{H} + 3\left(f_R - \frac{3\omega^3 + 3\omega^2 + 2}{2}\rho f_{RT}\right)H^2 + \left\{\left[(3\omega^2 - 1)\dot{f}_{RT} + \frac{\omega + 3}{2}\lambda\right]\rho - 2\dot{f}_R\right\}H \\ &-\ddot{f}_R - \frac{1}{2}(\omega - 1)\rho\ddot{f}_{RT} + \frac{1}{2}(\omega + 1)\rho\dot{\lambda} - (\omega + 1)\rho f_T - \kappa\omega\rho - \frac{1}{2}f = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{116}$$

respectively, where we have eliminated $\dot{\rho}$ from the above equations by using the conservation equation Eq. (114).

As an example for cosmological applications we consider the case where the function f is given by

$$f = R + \alpha R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}, \tag{117}$$

where $\alpha =$ constant. In this case Eqs. (115) and (116) become

$$3\left[\frac{1}{2}(3\omega^2 - 1)\alpha\rho - 1\right]H^2 + 3(\omega + 1)\lambda\rho H + \rho\dot{\lambda} + \kappa\rho = 0, \tag{118}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}(3\omega^2 - 4\omega - 3)\rho\right]\dot{H} + \frac{9}{2}\alpha\omega(\omega + 1)^2\rho H^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(5\omega + 3)\lambda\rho H + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \omega)\rho\dot{\lambda} + \kappa(\omega + 1)\rho = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

respectively.

1. *The high energy density phase*

In the high energy density limit we assume that the equation of state of the cosmological matter is the stiff causal equation of state, with $p = \rho$. Then the energy conservation equation gives

$$p = \rho = \frac{\rho_0}{a^6}. \tag{120}$$

The field equations for the high density phase of the evolution of the Universe are given by

$$3\left(\alpha\rho - 1\right)H^2 + 6\lambda H\rho + \rho\dot{\lambda} + \kappa\rho = 0, \tag{121}$$

and

$$(1 + \alpha\rho)\dot{H} + 9\alpha\rho H^2 + 2\lambda\rho H + \kappa\rho = 0, \tag{122}$$

respectively. By assuming that $\alpha\rho \gg 1$, Eqs. (121) and (122) become

$$3\alpha H^2 + 6\lambda H + \dot{\lambda} + \kappa = 0, \tag{123}$$

$$\alpha\dot{H} + 9\alpha H^2 + 2\lambda H + \kappa = 0. \tag{124}$$

For $H = H_0 =$ constant, and $\lambda = \lambda_0 =$ constant, and for $\alpha < 0$, Eqs. (123)-(124) have the solution

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{3|\alpha|}}, \lambda_0 = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{3\kappa|\alpha|}. \tag{125}$$

Therefore in the $f\left(R, T, R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right)$ gravity with energy conservation a de Sitter type phase does exist during the high density regime of the cosmological evolution of the Universe. From the above equations we obtain the relation between the Lagrange multiplier and the Hubble parameter as

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{8}\frac{\kappa}{H_0}. \tag{126}$$

2. *The pressureless matter case*

In the case of dust matter, i.e., $\omega = 0$, from the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor we obtain the density of the Universe as

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_0}{a^3}. \tag{127}$$

The gravitational field equations with the conservation of energy-momentum and dust matter take the form

$$-3\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\rho\right)H^2 + 3\lambda\rho H + \rho\dot{\lambda} + \kappa\rho = 0, \tag{128}$$

and

$$\left(2 + \frac{3\alpha}{2}\rho\right)\dot{H} + \frac{3}{2}\lambda\rho H + \frac{1}{2}\rho\dot{\lambda} + \kappa\rho = 0, \tag{129}$$

respectively. From Eqs. (128) and (129) we immediately obtain

$$2\left(2 + \frac{3\alpha}{2}\rho\right)\dot{H} + 3\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\rho\right)H^2 + \kappa\rho = 0. \tag{130}$$

In the limit of large densities $\alpha\rho \gg 1$, Eq. (130) becomes

$$3\alpha\dot{H} + 3\frac{\alpha}{2}H^2 + \kappa = 0, \tag{131}$$

with the general solution given for $\alpha > 0$ by

$$H(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{3\alpha}}\tan\left[\tan^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{3\alpha}{2\kappa}}H_0\right) + \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{6\alpha}}\left(t_0 - t\right)\right], \tag{132}$$

where we have used the initial condition $H\left(t_0\right) = H_0$. For $\alpha < 0$ we obtain

$$H(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{3\alpha}}\tanh\left[\tanh^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{3\alpha}{2\kappa}}H_0\right) + \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{6\alpha}}\left(t - t_0\right)\right]. \tag{133}$$

For $\alpha > 0$, the time evolution of the scale factor is given by

$$a(t) = a_0\cos^2\left[\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{6\alpha}}\left(t - t_0\right) - \tan^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{3\alpha}{2\kappa}}H_0\right)\right], \tag{134}$$

where a_0 is an arbitrary constant of integration. For $\alpha < 0$ we have

$$a(t) = a_0\cosh^2\left[\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{6\alpha}}\left(t - t_0\right) + \tanh^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{3\alpha}{2\kappa}}H_0\right)\right]. \tag{135}$$

In the opposite limit of small densities $\alpha\rho \ll 1$, Eq. (130) takes the form

$$4\dot{H} + 3H^2 + \frac{\kappa\rho_0}{a^3} = 0, \tag{136}$$

with the general solution given by

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) \; &= \; \frac{\left\{\left(3a_0^3H_0^2 - \kappa\rho_0\right)\right\}^{2/3}}{4a_0\left(6a_0^3H_0^2 - 2\kappa\rho_0\right)^{2/3}} \times \\ &\times \left[a_0^3(3H_0\left(t - t_0\right) + 4)^2 - 3\kappa\rho_0\left(t - t_0\right)^2\right]^{2/3}, \end{aligned} \tag{137}$$

where we have used the initial conditions $a\left(t_0\right) = a_0$, and $\dot{a}\left(t_0\right) = a_0H_0$, where $H_0 = H\left(t_0\right)$.

The general solution of the cosmological field equations (128) and (129) can be obtained as

$$t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{16\pi G\rho_0}}\int\frac{Aa^{1/2}\mathrm{d}a}{\sqrt{a_0 - B}}, \qquad B = \int A^{-10}\mathrm{d}a \tag{138}$$

where a_0 is an integration constant and we have denoted

$$A = \left(3\alpha\rho_0 + 4a^3\right)^{1/12}. \tag{139}$$

One can then obtain the Lagrange multiplier λ in terms of a as

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2\rho_0 a^3}\int a^3\left(3\alpha\rho_0H^2 + 6H^2a^2 - 2\kappa\rho_0\right)\mathrm{d}t. \tag{140}$$

In classical mechanics, the Lagrange multiplier has the meaning of the force that keeps the constraint on the mechanical system. In the models with energy conservation, we would like to conserve the energy of the ordinary matter, which amounts to provide some energy to the gravitational system. Eq. (140) can be written in a differential form as

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\lambda a^3\right) = \frac{1}{2\rho_0}H^2\left(3\alpha\rho_0 + 6a^2 - 2\frac{\kappa\rho_0}{H^2}\right), \tag{141}$$

showing that the time variation of the Lagrange multiplier density is proportional to the square of the Hubble parameter.

VIII. DISCUSSIONS AND FINAL REMARKS

In this paper we have extended the work initiated in [30] and [31] by considering a more general gravitational action in which the Lagrangian of the field explicitly depends not only on R and T, but also on the contraction of the matter energy-momentum tensor with the Ricci tensor. The gravitational field equations have been obtained in the metric formalism in two cases, corresponding to a non-conservative and conservative physical system, respectively. In order to impose the condition of the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor we have used a Lagrange multiplier method, which implies the introduction of a new vector field in the gravitational action. The equation of motion of massive test particles was derived in the non-conservative case and so was its Newtonian limit, corresponding to weak gravitational fields and low velocities. A density-dependent supplementary acceleration, acting on massive test particles, is induced in the presence of a non-minimal coupling between geometry and matter. The extra force on massive particles generated by the geometry-matter coupling is always present, even in the case $L_m = p$, and causes a deviation from geodesic paths. The presence of the extra force could explain the properties of the galactic rotation curves without resorting to the dark matter hypothesis. It is interesting to note that this supplementary acceleration is also proportional to the matter density gradient, tending to zero for constant density self-gravitating systems. A similar dependence on the gradient of the Newtonian gravitational potential also appears in the generalized Poisson equation.

The viability of the theory was studied by examining the stability of the theory with respect to local perturbations. In pure $f(R)$ gravity, a fatal instability develops on time scales of the order of 10^{26} s when the function $f(R)$ satisfies the condition $f''(R) < 0$. This instability, called the Dolgov-Kawasaki instability, was discovered in the prototype model $f(R) = R - \mu^4/R$, with $\mu \sim H_0 \sim 10^{-33}$ eV [38], which is therefore ruled out. In the present case, the condition of the stability with respect to the local perturbations can be formulated as $f_{RR}(R_0) - (\rho_0 - T_0/2) f_{RT,R}(R_0) \geq 0$, where R_0 is the background Ricci scalar.

The cosmological implications of the theory were also investigated for both conservative and non-conservative theories. For this study we have adopted four functional forms for $f(R, T, R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu})$. In the non-conservative case we have shown that for two choices of the function f, the gravitational field equations admit an exponential, de Sitter type solution. Therefore matter-geometry coupling may be responsible for the late time acceleration of the Universe, as suggested by the observation of the high redshift supernovae [5]. An interesting solution of the field equations was obtained in the case of a conservative model with $f(R, T, R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}) = R + \alpha R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$. In this case if the coupling constant $\alpha > 0$, the solution has an oscillatory behavior, with alternating expanding and collapsing phases. For $\alpha < 0$, the scale factor of the Universe has a hyperbolic cosine type dependence. We have also investigated models containing the square root of the trace of the energy-momentum tensor. In this case in the high density limit the Universe has a super-accelerated expansion, but no de Sitter type phase can be obtained analytically.

Work along similar lines has been done independently in [37], although in a different setting, and with a different focus, with mainly the cosmological aspects of the theory being investigated. Indeed, the authors of [37] mainly considered the accelerating solutions of the $f(R, T, R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu})$ theory, and attempted to find the functional form of f analytically. On the other hand we dealt with the other aspects of the theory, including the motion of a test body in the gravitational field, as well as the Newtonian limit and the generalized Poisson equation. We have also considered some cosmological solutions for the model. An important new result in our work is the use of the Lagrange multiplier method to implement energy-momentum conservation. In both our work and in [37], the Dolgov-Kawasaki instability was explored, and the same results were obtained.

The field equations of $f(R, T, R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu})$ gravity are extremely complex. For different choices of the function f, cosmological solutions with many types of qualitative behaviors can be obtained. These models can be used to explain the late acceleration of the Universe, without resorting to the cosmological constant, or to the dark energy. On the other hand, this theory can open a new perspective on the very early stages of the evolution of the Universe, and may provide an alternative to the inflationary paradigm, which is facing very serious challenges due to the recently released Planck results.

Acknowledgments

We thank S. D. Odintsov for helpful comments and suggestions on an earlier version of the manuscript. FSNL acknowledges financial support of the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia through the grants CERN/FP/123615/2011 and CERN/FP/123618/2011. Z. Haghani, H. R. Sepangi and S. Shahidi would like to thank the Research Council of Shahid Beheshti University for financial support.

---

[1] P. A. R. Ade et al., Planck 2013 results. I, arXiv: 1303.5062 [astro-ph) (2013).

[2] P. A. R. Ade et al., Planck 2013 results. XVI, arXiv: 1303.5076 [astro-ph] (2013).

[3] C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys.**B 302**, 668 (1988); B. Ratra and P. J. E. Peebles, Phys. Rev. **D 37**, 3406 (1988); R. Caldwell, R. Dave, and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1582 (1998).

[4] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, 1753 (2006).

[5] A. G. Riess, L. Macri, S. Casertano, et al., Astrophys. J. **730**, 119 (2011).

[6] J. Binney and S. Tremaine, Galactic dynamics, Princeton, Princeton University Press, (1987); M. Persic, P. Salucci and F. Stel, Month. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **281**, 27 (1996); A. Borriello and P. Salucci, Month. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **323**, 285 (2001).

[7] H. A. Buchdahl, Mon. Not. R. Astro. Soc. **150**, 1 (1970).

[8] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B **91**, 99 (1980).

[9] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, Living Rev. Rel. **13**, 3 (2010); T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 451 (2010); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rept. **505**, 59 (2011); F. S. N. Lobo, arXiv:0807.1640 [gr-qc]; T. Clifton, P. G. Ferreira, A. Padilla and C. Skordis, Phys. Rep. **513**, 1 (2012).

[10] T. Chiba, Phys. Lett. **B 575**, 1 (2003); G. J. Olmo, Phys. Rev. **D 75**, 023511 (2007).

[11] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. **D 68**, 123512 (2003).

[12] L. Amendola, R. Gannouji, D. Polarski and S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. **D 75**, 083504 (2007); N. Goheer, J. Larena and P. Dunsby, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 061301 (2009); ; A. Abebe, M. Abdelwahab, A. Cruz-Dombriz and P. Dunsby, Class. Quant. Grav. **29**, 135011 (2012); A. Abebe, A. Cruz-Dombriz and P. Dunsby, arXiv:1304.3462 (2013).

[13] A. Borzou, H. R. Sepangi, S. Shahidi, and R. Yousefi, EPL **88** 29001 (2009); M. Bouhmadi-Lopez, S. Capozziello, and V. F. Cardone, Phys. Rev. **D 82**, 103526 (2010).

[14] Z. Haghani, H. R. Sepangi, and S. Shahidi, JCAP **02** (2012) 031.

[15] A. S. Sefiedgar, Z. Haghani, H. R. Sepangi, Phys. Rev. **D 85**, 064012 (2012).

[16] T. Harko, T. S. Koivisto, F. S. N. Lobo and G. J. Olmo, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 084016 (2012).

[17] S. Capozziello, T. Harko, T. S. Koivisto, F. S. N. Lobo and G. J. Olmo, arXiv:1301.2209 [gr-qc].

[18] S. Capozziello, T. Harko, T. S. Koivisto, F. S. N. Lobo and G. J. Olmo, JCAP **1304**, 011 (2013).

[19] S. Capozziello, T. Harko, T. S. Koivisto, F. S. N. Lobo and G. J. Olmo, arXiv:1212.5817 [physics.gen-ph]; S. Capozziello, T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo and G. J. Olmo, arXiv:1305.3756 [gr-qc].

[20] S. Capozziello, T. Harko, T. S. Koivisto, F. S. N. Lobo and G. J. Olmo, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 127504 (2012).

[21] H. F. M. Goenner, Found. Phys. **14**, 865 (1984).

[22] T. Harko and F. S. N. Lobo, Eur. Phys. J. **C 70**, 373 (2010).

[23] T. Koivisto, Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, 4289 (2006); O. Bertolami, C. Boehmer, T. Harko and F. S.N. Lobo, Phys. Rev. **D 75**, 104016 (2007); O. Bertolami, J. Paramos, T. Harko and F. S. N. Lobo, arXiv:0811.2876 [gr-qc].

[24] T. Harko, Phys. Lett. **B 669**, 376 (2008).

[25] T. Harko, Phys. Rev. **D 81**, 044021 (2010); T. Harko and F. S. N. Lobo, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 124034 (2012).

[26] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, Class. Quant. Gravity **25**, 205002 (2008); O. Bertolami, F. S. N. Lobo and J. Paramos, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 064036 (2008);

[27] O. Minazzoli and T. Harko, Phys. Rev. **D 86**, 087502 (2012).

[28] T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, and O. Minazzoli, Phys. Rev. **D 87**, 047501 (2013).

[29] O. Bertolami, F. Gil Pedro, M. Le Delliou, Phys. Lett. **B 654**, 165 (2007).

[30] N. J. Poplawski, arXiv:gr-qc/0608031 (2003).

[31] T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. **D 84**, 024020 (2011).

[32] R. Myrzakulov, Eur. Phys. J. **C 72**, 2203 (2012); M. Sharif and M. Zubair, JCAP **03** (2012) 028; M. Sharif and M. Zubair, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **81**, 114005 (2012); M. Jamil, D. Momeni, and R. Myrzakulov, Chin. Phys. Lett. **29**, 109801 (2012); M. J. S. Houndjo and O. F. Piattella, International Journal of Modern Physics **D 21**, 1250024 (2012); M. J. S. Houndjo, International Journal of Modern Physics **D 21**, 1250003 (2012); D. R. K. Reddy, R. Santhi Kumar, and T. Pradeep Kumar, International Journal of Theoretical Physics **52**, 239 (2013); F. G. Alvarenga, M. J. S. Houndjo, A. V. Monwanou, and J. B. Chabi Orou, Journal of Modern Physics **4**, 130 (2013); G. C. Samanta, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, accepted for publication (2013); G. C. Samanta and S. N. Dhal, International Journal of Theoretical Physics **52**, 1334 (2013); R. Chaubey and A. K. Shukla, Astrophysics and Space Science **343**, 415 (2013)

[33] M. Jamil, D. Momeni, M. Raza, and R. Myrzakulov, Eur. Phys. J. **C 72**, 1999 (2012).

[34] V. F. G. Alvarenga, A. de la Cruz-Dombriz, M. J. S. Houndjo, M. E. Rodrigues, and D. Saez-Gomez, arXiv:1302.1866 (2013).

[35] P. A. R. Ade et al. [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5062 [astro-ph.CO] (2013); P. A. R. Ade et al. [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5075 [astro-ph.CO] (2013); P. A. R. Ade et al. [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO] (2013).

[36] S. Deser and G. W. Gibbons, Class. Quantum Grav. 15, L35 (1998).

[37] S. D. Odintsov and D. Saez-Gomez, arXiv:1304.5411 [gr-qc] (2013).

[38] A.D. Dolgov and M. Kawasaki, Phys. Lett. **B 573**, 1 (2003).

[39] V. Faraoni, Phys. Rev. **D 74**, 104017 (2006); T. P. Sotiriou, Phys. Lett. **B 645**, 389 (2007); V. Faraoni, Phys. Rev. **D 76**, 127501 (2007); O. Bertolami and M. C. Sequeira, Phys. Rev. **D 79**, 104010 (2009); J. Wang, Y.-B. Wu, Y.-X. Guo, W.-Q. Yang, and L. Wang, Phys. Lett. **B 689**, 133 (2010).

[40] S. Capozziello, J. Matsumoto, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. **B 693**, 198 (2010); J. Kluson, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. **B 701**, 117 (2011); S. Capozziello, A. N. Makarenko, S. D. Odintsov, arXiv:1302.0093 [gr-qc].